#### **Examination Library Document Reference EH016(g)(i)**

From: Alistair Lindop

**Sent:** 11 March 2024 12:20 PM

**To:** Annette Feeney

**Subject:** Designation of 39 New Road, Blakeney **Attachments:** Proforma of Blakeney Pastures FOI.pdf

#### Dear Sir

I am writing further to my attendance of the hearing addressing Matter 10 last Wednesday, at which I spoke (as my wife is ill and unable to attend).

I made reference to the Amenity Green Space Study (Examination Document G13) and the lack of designation of Local Green Space within the North Norfolk proposed plan. I asserted that almost all areas previously recognised in the old plan as open areas (designated CT1) have been designated as Open Land Areas in the new plan and their consideration, as local green spaces was dismissed because they "already benefit from open land area, designation". I believe I heard NNDC Officer Mr Withington say that this was incorrect. I explain below (referencing evidence) that I was correct and that the process failed to deliver appropriate assessment of each of the areas concerned. It just designated all the old CT1 areas as new open land areas.

Appendix C, Local Space Assessment Criteria of Local Green Spaces (p194 of Amenity Green Space Study) states "it will rarely be appropriate to designate spaces...... that are subject to existing designation". This appears to have been interpreted to mean that if an area had a designation it did not qualify as a local green space - I think this is a misinterpretation of the NPPF. Furthermore, confusion surrounds exactly what these areas were being designated as. The evidence gathering form (the "Proforma" example of blank form is provided at Appendix B of Amenity Green Space Study) asks the question "Recommendation: Designation Upheld"? What designation? I did challenge this process at the Regulation 18 Stage but my challenge was dismissed.

The consequence of this is that there were, at the Regulation 18 Stage, just seven recommended Local Green Spaces in North Norfolk, and none of these were previously recognised as open spaces under the old CT1 policy (6 of the 7 spaces are ponds and one is a staithe). Could this small number of local green spaces be because North Norfolk has so few "demonstrably special" areas? No, I believe this shows the illogical and flawed manner in which open spaces were considered for designation in the new local plan, it also goes some way to explain the inclusion of our garden in an open land area designation.

We obtained (via a Freedom of Information request) the completed "Proforma" for Blakeney Pastures (which includes our garden). The "designation upheld?" question was answered "yes" in spite of the fact that all the evidence under "justification" on the form relates to Blakeney Pastures (the publicly accessible open space known as Blakeney Pastures) and none of it relates to the garden. We addressed all of these issues in our Regulation 19 submission including the completed proforma for Blakeney Pastures. I have attached the document again to this email.

The Statement of Land Supply paper, April 2016 (examination document K9, see page 13-14 paragraph 8.1) to which I referred at the Hearing, clearly recognises that in the 1980s and 1990s areas were originally designated as open areas in order to prevent development on them, thereby restricting the oversupply of housing, rather than because they offered any particular open space value. This, also, was referenced in our Regulation 19 submission. I believe this is the reason our garden was originally designated sometime in the 1990s. Since then it's just been rolled over.

The NNDC landscape officer made reference to the Blakeney Conservation Area Appraisal. This appraisal recognised a number of important views around the village, none of which were into or across our garden. Furthermore, the landscape officer seemed very keen that you should see an aerial photograph of the area as evidence of a perceived

"composite green space". I would politely suggest that what is important here is what is experienced on the ground and the relationships of these very different areas and what they contribute.
Thank you for your attention.
Regards
Alistair Lindop

SITE ADDRESS: Pastures, Coast Road, Blakeney					S	ITE REFERENCE:	B1	
SETTLEMENT: BLAKENEY			<del></del>		Date of Audit:	11 <sup>th</sup> October 2017		
Area (m²)				Site Owner:				
EXISTING DES		Open Land Area (CT1) YES	Area (CT1) Education/Recreation Area (CT1)		Public Re	ealm (EN5)	County Wildlife Site or other statutor designation:	
		Local Nature Reserve (EN9)	Historic Park/ Garden (EN2 & EN8)		Conserva YES	ation Area	TPO's	AONB YES
PLANNING	HISTORY: Bla	keney Hotel owns a portion	on of the I	land and appli	ed to use a	section for car par	king (CL/15/0317 R	and PF/15/1540 R)
		proposals (15/0483 Appe						
1. SITE I	NFORMATIO	N						
			Centre of set AONB	tlement w	ithin Blakeney Co	onservation Area a	nd the Norfolk Coast	
b) CURRENT USE: e.g. open space/ sports / built upon?			1   5   1	roads. A sma currently use significantly f the south-eas	Il portion i d as privat rom the cl st is privat	n the northern se te parking for Bla haracter of the sp e garden land, re	ector (approx. 12% keney Hotel, but to pace. A section of t cently planted wit	otpaths and 2 access ) is fenced off and is nis does not detract the designated area to n orchard trees and a part of the composite
c) SUMMARY SITE DESCRIPTION: e.g. open mown grass, woodland, neighbourhood park, sports field, cemetery.			read on the S		, a	meadow with margreen space.	ature parkland tre	es, mature hedgerow
d) SITE BOUNDARIES: e.g. fencing, railings, hedgerow, none			ſ	Mostly mature hedgerow				
e) TOPOGRAPHY: is the site level or sloping?			(	Gently sloping southwards				
2. SITE CHARACTERISTICS								
a) LANDS	CAPE FEATUR	RES: Short mown gra	wn grass 709		6	Scrub		
(approx	% of total area	a) Meadow/long g	grass	3%		Planted areas		
		Trees		109	6	Ponds		

	Hedges	15	Allotments		
b) BUILT FEATURES:	Buildings		Built Play Areas		
	Toilets		Sports Pitches		
	Picnic tables		Skate Park		
	Surfaced Paths	2%	Youth Shelter		
	Benches		Signage		
3. SITE ASSESSMEN	T T				
OF OTTE MODEOUTER	COMMENTS				
a) ACCESS					
Does the site have public	Yes				
access?					
Are there multiple access	Yes				
points?					
Does the site connect to	Yes, aside from the main coast road the site connects directly with the Pastures, the Village				
adjoining spaces?	Hall site (B2) and the field off Langham Road (B3). There is a strong visual connection.				
Is the site readily	Given its central location within the settlement, the site is readily accessible to most of the				
accessible by local	local community. Positioned between the public car park within the village hall site, (B2)				
community e.g. walking	and the Blakeney Quay, there is considerable pedestrian movement through the site.				
distance or by car?					
b) VISIBILITY					
How visible is the site	The site is highly visible from the	e surrounding housi	ng which frames the space.	The site is	***************************************
from the surrounding	also visible from adjacent green spaces to the south, B2, Village Hall and B3, Langham Road				
area?	due to the gently rising topography.				
How visible is the site	The site has a strong presence h	eing directly adiaco	nt to the main coast road th	rough the	
within the wider	site The site has a strong presence being directly adjacent to the main coast road through the village. Although visual impact is diluted due to hedge boundaries, the sense of green				
settlement?	space and a clear break in built form is very evident passing along this road.				
octionicit;	space and a clear break in built form is very evident passing along this road.				

Views out of site e.g.	Open views cough to the adjaining and but of the sile		
open/closed – confined?	Open views south to the adjoining open land of the village hall and playing fields give a		
open/closed – commedi	sense of space.		
	Long range views of the distant saltmarsh to the west from the north section of the space		
c) USE	give important context to the site.		
Is the site used by the public?	Ves as subsubs		
	Yes, regularly		
By dog walkers	A central village location for dog walking		
By young children			
<ul> <li>By teenagers</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>By the elderly</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Accessed by all</li> </ul>	Used frequently by all ages		
Is the site used for public	Please state if not known: Description:		
events? e.g. fetes, local	Not known		
sports events			
Is the site used regularly	Please state if not known: Description:		
by local clubs?	Not known		
d) MAINTENANCE	Assess the standard of maintenance of the following: i.e. neglected / maintained		
Horticulture			
(regular mown grass,	Regular mown grass		
pruned and managed			
trees, hedges and			
shrubs)			
<ul> <li>Conservation/Biodiv</li> </ul>			
ersity (Variety of			
habitat)			
Buildings & use			
<ul> <li>Equipment</li> </ul>	Benches		
e.g. play, seating			
<ul> <li>Waste Management</li> </ul>	Litter bins and dog bins are regularly maintained		
(litter & dog bins)			

e) CONTEXT		
Does the site/part of site contribute to the character and appearance of the settlement?  Does the site contribute to	The site plays an integral role in the form and character of the settlement, providing a significant open space within the Conservation Area. Housing frames the site to the north, west and east and marks a clear edge to the historic village core. The site forms an important setting for this strong line of built form and provides a degree of separation between the historic core and the later extension of the village to the south. A plaque on the Pastures implies that the area was mentioned in the Domesday Book of 1086.  Two Grade II listed buildings are directly adjacent to the Pastures, 19 and 23 Westgate	
the setting of a Listed Building?  f) FUNCTION	Street. The main elevations of these former cottages do not front onto the Pastures, but the proximity of these heritage assets directly adjacent to the open space is of some merit in shaping their setting.	
Does the site provide public benefits? Does it provide elements of beauty, tranquillity, recreational value, wildlife etc	The central location of the site within the settlement and the multiple access points provides high and as a consequence has high usage. The size and nature of the space provides opportunities for recreation (walking, dog walking, games). It acts as a green lung within the settlement. The trees a hedgerows provide wildlife habitat and the location of the site adjoining other green space provide ecological connectivity.	informal ind
Has the extent of the site changed in any way since the 2008 Proposals Map?	A section of the site in the north portion has recently been fenced off and is used for informal car p Blakeney Hotel (2017). However, there is no change to the grassed surface and the area still reads the wider composite green space.	earking by as part of

RECOMMENDATION				
	Designation upheld:	Yes		
	Site is de-designated:			
200 (1.0 to 10	Designation/layer name to	Amenity Green Space		
	use on Proposals Map e.g.			
	Education/Recreation,			
	Amenity Green Space			
JUSTIFICATION				
Access/Amount of use	A regularly used, easily accessible and highly valued amenity green space centrally located within the settlement			
Function (recreation,	High value for informal recreation (dog walking, seating, paths, views)			
tranquillity, biodiversity)	Provides a sense of tranquillity and beauty by way of its naturalistic character and the scale of the space			
	Biodiversity value is gained through the maturity of the landscape and variety (mown grass, trees and			
	hedgerows provide habitats for wildlife) and the notable ecological connectivity with green space to the south.			
Contribution to	Highly significant being one of the few areas of open space within the Conservation Area.			
character of the	Forms a defining edge and green setting to the historic village core and gives a degree of separation from the			
settlement	later development to the south. There is a significant visual quality to the site and also in its visual connection with the two sites to the south. Long range contextual views from the site to the coast are noteworthy.			
Additional Notes	The site is the subject of a lo	cal Charitable Trust, established in 1999 to safeguard open space within the village		
	It is understood that Blakeney Parish Council supports the retention of the Open Land Area designation.			