## RYBURGH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Response from Ryburgh Parish Council to the Regulation section 16 representations - at the invitation of the Inspector by his letter of the 25th June 2020-with no new evidence being produced.

## 1. North Norfolk District Council response (RNP053):

Para: 1.13: Policy 1 is considered positive, not restrictive, in that it safeguards future development in the village as a whole which would otherwise be blighted by any increase in the frequency of HGV traffic using the High Street. In addition we rely upon the comments in relation to Policy 1 contained in the Basic Conditions Statement.

Para 1.17: the tributary of the River Wensum to the South of the village was not included within the Habitat Area because it is little more than a ditch and has no flood plain. The woodland was considered to be detached from the body of the Habitat Area and in any event, protected as a CWS.

## 2. Messrs Savills letter 8th June 2020 (RNP049):

Annex 2- Consultation Process. The statutory requirements for consultation were carefully complied with. The client is believed not to live within the Neighbourhood Area. As stated in the Consultation Statement (Para 2.4) an invitation was issued to Sennowe Estate to appoint a member of the Working Group to represent the family holdings that make up the Sennowe Estate. Mr the brother of Savill's client, was appointed.

Savill's complain of an absence of "consultation". It is not practical for a Working Group to consult with every landowner. Had he been in touch during the process his contributions would have been valued.

Page 3, Policy 3 'Infill Housing in Great Ryburgh'

Messrs Savill's do not provide details of their clients landholdings. It is understood he owns land to the North of Highfield Lane and has granted options in relation to the new access road referred to. It is respectfully suggested that Messrs Savill's should declare their clients interest, on or before suggesting alteration to the Settlement Boundary.

Dated 02 July 2020.