

Matter 2, Question 2.2 - Additional information requested regarding Small Growth Villages

- A) A list of villages that fall immediately below small growth village status.
- B) a list of settlements which respondents thought should be small growth villages.

A: Village Assessment

A desktop study was carried out at Regulation 18 stage for the villages at Stage 1 of the methodology, taken from Background Paper 2: Distribution of Growth [C2]. Below is Appendix 1: Stage 1 – Summary of Initial Sift Assessment for Regulation 18 of Background Paper 2 Distribution of Growth [C2], pages 210-212

KEY	Service Provided		
	Limited, Infrequent or Remote		see notes
	No Service		

Village	Key Services			Secondary Services				Desirable Services				Initial Categorisation	
	Primary School	Convenience Shopping	GP Surgery	Main Road	Post Office	Other Shopping	Public House / Restaurant	Meeting Place (e.g. Village Hall)	Petrol Filling Station	Vehicle Repair Workshop	Place of Worship		Designated Employment Land within Settlement
Aldborough													Small Growth Village
Antingham													Countryside
Bacton													Small Growth Village
Beeston Regis													Countryside
Binham													Small Growth Village
Blakeney													Service Village
Bodham													Countryside
Briston & Melton Constable													Service Village
Catfield													Small Growth Village
Cley Next The Sea													Countryside
Colby													Countryside
Corpusty & Saxthorpe													Small Growth Village
East Runton													Small Growth Village

Walcott	Red	Green	Red	Green	Red	Green	Red	Red	Red	Green	Red	Small Growth Village
Walsingham	Green	Green	Yellow	Red	Yellow	Green	Green	Red	Red	Green	Red	Small Growth Village
West Runton	Red	Green	Red	Green	Green	Green	Green	Red	Red	Green	Red	Small Growth Village
Weybourne	Red	Green	Red	Green	Green	Red	Green	Red	Red	Green	Red	Small Growth Village
Wicken Green	Green	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Green	Red	Green	Red	Red	Countryside
Worstead	Green	Red	Red	Red	Yellow	Red	Green	Red	Red	Green	Red	Countryside

Notes
Antingham: Antingham and Southrepps Primary School not within village settlement
Bacton: Mobile Post Office at Bacton Village Hall 1 hour per week (Tuesday 10:30-11:30). Mundesley Medical Centre operate a branch surgery for a 2 hour period on alternate Wednesdays in Bacton
Bodham: Mobile Post Office at Bodham Village Hall 1 hour per week (Tuesday 12:30-13:30).
Colby: Colby Village hall is Jubilee Hall, Sandy Lane, Banningham
Erpingham: Mobile Post Office visits only 1 hour per week
Hickling: Infant school only. Post Office only 2 hours per week (Thursday 10.00 – 12.00)
Hindringham: Mobile Post Office visits only 1 hour per week
Ryburgh: Primary school in Stibbard
Southrepps: Antingham and Southrepps Primary School not within village settlement
Stibbard: Mobile Post Office visits only 1 hour per week
Sutton: Infant school only, Junior School at Stalham. Mobile Post Office visits Monday and Thursday 10:45 – 12:15
Walsingham: Mobile Post Office visits only 1 hour per week (Tuesday 11:30-12:30). GP Surgery only Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday mornings
Worstead: Mobile Post Office visits only 1 hour per week

In addition, are two Extracts from Appendix 2: Summary Review of Identified Small Growth Villages Regulation 19, page 213.

Village	Key Services			Secondary Services					Desirable Services				Revised Categorisation
	Primary School	Convenience Shopping	GP Surgery	Main Road	Post Office	Other Shopping	Public House / Restaurant	Meeting Place (e.g. Village Hall)	Petrol Filling Station	Vehicle Repair Workshop	Place of Worship	Designated Employment Land	
Edgefield	Red	Red	Red	Green	Red	Green	Green	Green	Red	Green	Green	Red	Countryside
Langham	Green	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Green	Green	Red	Red	Green	Red	Countryside

The methodology set out in Chapter 4 of Background Paper 2 [C2] requires a minimum of 1 key service and 4 secondary or desirable services for Small Growth Villages. The following tables provide information on the villages that did not meet the required amount of services and facilities and as such fall outside the small growth village status according to the methodology used.

Table 1: Villages as described in the key below

Key:

10	Villages with one key service and three secondary or desirable services
6	Villages without a key service but 4 or more secondary or desirable services

Village	Number of Key services	Number of secondary or desirable services
Beeston Regis	1	3
Bodham	0	4
Cley next the Sea	0	5
Edgefield*	0	6
Holkham	0	5
Itteringham	1	3
Langham**	1	3
Neatishead	1	3
Northrepps	1	3
Ryburgh	1	3
Salthouse	0	5
Stibbard	1	3
Stiffkey	0	5
Swanton Abbott	1	3
Tunstead	1	3
Worstead	1	3

*Edgefield reviewed at Regulation 19 stage only added for context (see extract from Appendix 2 of Background Paper above)

**Langham - assessment updated to reflect Regulation 19 review (see extract from Appendix 2 of Background Paper above)

Table 2: Remaining villages assessed at Regulation 18 stage with fewer services and facilities.

Village	Number of Key Services	Number of secondary or desirable services
Anthingam	0	2
Colby	1	1
East Ruston	1	2
Erpingham	1	2
Felmingham	1	2
Gresham	1	2
Hickling	0	3
Hindringham	1	2
Kelling	1	2
Raynham (East & West)	1	2
Wicken Fen	1	2

B: Consultation feedback seeking small growth designation under SS1

Table 3: List of consultation comments requesting villages to be considered as Small Growth Villages taken from Regulation 18 Appendices A, B & C – Schedule of Representations [A5.1, A5.2, A5.3]

Village	Name	Reference	Comment
Bodham	Mr Callum Ringer	LP772	Would not be against, for example, further small scale developments in Bodham.
	Armstrong Rigg Planning on behalf of Hopkins Homes	LP803	Bodham is a village that our client is interested in for small scale development of approx. 27 dwellings.
Northrepps	Bidwells on behalf of Mr Simon Gurney	LP357	Supports the elevation of Northrepps from Countryside Area to Small Growth Village, promoting site H0180
Edgefield	Bidwells on behalf of Mr Iain Wilson	LP305	Supportive of allocating sites for small scale development. Supports Edgefield as a Small Growth Village.

Table 4: List of consultation comments requesting villages to be considered as Small Growth Villages taken from Regulation 19 Appendix H Schedule of Representations [A5.8]

Village	Name	Reference	Comment
Edgefield	Bidwells on behalf of The Pigs Edgefield	LP378	Land off Plumstead Road, Edgefield Supportive of allocating sites for small scale development. Supports Edgefield as a Small Growth Village particularly as it is in close proximity to higher order settlements. Edgefield is approximately 3 miles from Holt. The village that has the capacity to absorb further growth, ensuring the vitality of the village is preserved. No evidence has been provided to our knowledge that demonstrates why other settlements have been selected above Edgefield.
Langham	Mr Patrick Allen	LPS330	Reinstate Langham as a Small Growth Village with in the text of the document to comply with it's identity on the maps. Consider that Langham does still meet the required level of

			services – one key service in the form of the Village school, 4 secondary or desirable services in the form of the Church, the Pub, the Village Hall, and the Harper Hotel as ‘an area of Employment land’, something that has been overlooked.
Stiffkey	Planning Places Ltd on behalf of Mr Tom Abrey & Ms Laura Caraccio-Hewitt	LPS475	Sites such as Hillcrest in Stiffkey should be considered for small housing development to help make the plan more consistent with national policy and ultimately more sound. Stiffkey is currently designated as countryside despite local shops, pubs, and regular bus services (multiple times a day throughout the week).